



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgnia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/674,247	09/29/2003	Donald E. Weder	8403.968	3184
30589 759	03/06/2006		EXAMINER	
DUNLAP, CODDING & ROGERS P.C.			PALO, FRANCIS T	
PO BOX 16370 OKLAHOMA C	CITY, OK 73113		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
<u> </u>	,		3644	
		•	DATE MAILED: 03/06/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/674,247	WEDER, DONALD E.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Francis T. Palo	3644				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ju	ıly 2005.					
•	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		•				
4) Claim(s) <u>1-9,17-20,23-25,28-30,33-44 and 54-60</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-9,17-20,23-25,28-30,33-44 and 54-60 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		(PTO-413) ate ratent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other: <u>Restriction</u> .						

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Species-I: <u>Two-layered</u> material construction;

Specifically, Polymer Film to Cloth;

As represented by independent claim groups 1, 4, 8 and 33, 37, 42.

Species-II: Three-layered material construction;

Specifically, Polymer Film to Cloth to Polymer Film

As represented by independent claim groups 17, 18, 20 and 54, 56, 59.

The species are independent or distinct because twelve (12) independent claims are presented directed to a two (2) and to a three (3) layered construction as outlined above.

Furthermore, the layered constructions are presented as at least a partial lamination (claim groups 1, 4, 8 and 17, 18, 20) or at least partially connected (claim groups 33, 37, 42 and 54, 56, 59).

The Office initially will not distinguish between a 'lamination' or a 'connection' until applicant presents a distinction in the claim(s) language, whereby that distinction may further result in a further election-of-species requirement by the Office.

Application/Control Number: 10/674,247

Art Unit: 3644

The species are further distinct because of the crimping means presented; that is, <u>cinching tab means</u> (claims 1, 33, 17, 54), <u>banding element means</u> (claims 4, 37, 18, 56), and <u>no crimping means</u> (claims 8, 42, 20, 59).

Species-IA: Cinching Tab (as represented by claim groups 1 and 33).

Species-IB: Banding Element (as represented by claim groups 4 and 37).

Species-IC: Generic; No Crimping Means (as represented by claim groups 8 and 42).

Species-IIA: Cinching Tab (as represented by claim groups 17 and 54).

Species-IIB: Banding Element (as represented by claim groups 18 and 56).

Species-IIC: Generic; No Crimping Means (as represented by claim groups 20 and 59).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable; That is, an election between **Species-I** or **Species-II** and then a further election of the **A,B,C** species of **Species-I** or **Species-II**.

For example: Applicant elects **Species-IC**.

Currently, claims 8 and 42 appear generic to Species-I.

Currently, claims 20 and 59 appear generic to Species-II.

Application/Control Number: 10/674,247

Art Unit: 3644

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added.

An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

A telephone call <u>was not made</u> to applicant's representatives to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, because of the complexity of the election.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse.

Application/Control Number: 10/674,247

The Control Harrison: 10/07 1,2

Art Unit: 3644

To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse.

If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case.

In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Francis T. Palo whose telephone number is 571-272-6907. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Tu.,Th.-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teri Luu can be reached on 571-272-7045. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/674,247 Page 6

Art Unit: 3644

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Francis T. Palo
Francis T. Palo
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3644